Flight Data Monitoring Program Air Charter Safety Foundation

March 2020





What is a Flight Data Monitoring (FDM) Program?

Flight Data Monitoring (FDM) is the analysis of flight data – from the Flight Data Recorder (FDR) which allows safety managers <u>to identify hazards</u> <u>and trends</u> of flight operational 'triggered' events.

This is to continuously improve flight operational procedures and training. FDM is often referred to as Flight Operations Quality Assurance (FOQA).

Why have an FDM Program?

- **PROACTIVE SAFETY**
- Accident / Incident / Hazard Prevention
- Ensure regulatory compliance
- Feedback / lessons learned for continuous improvement
- Fuel burns Fly-Efficiently
- Reduced maintenance troubleshooting time
- Brand image protection
- Savings on insurance premium



Why have an FDM Program?

- IT'S ALL ABOUT PROACTIVE SAFETY
- Accident investigations often uncover latent / hidden risks
- Hidden risks can continue over time if not monitored
- By having an FDM Program, a company can monitor for risks to their operation and <u>proactively act on them to</u> <u>prevent them from manifesting into an incident or accident</u>.

Event example – the "Why" to have FDM

- A Global Express flew from the US to Europe a 7h30m flight
- The pilots contacted the Company and advised the ground lift dump / spoilers did not deploy and the emergency brake was used upon landing to stop the aircraft
- Post flight inspection revealed damage occurred to #1 and #4 tires



Debrief with pilots

- The crew briefed and flew a stabilized approach
- Normal flare and touch down in touch down zone
- Felt like normal brake system failed with sensation of no deceleration *without* a "BRAKE FAIL" Crew-Alerting System (CAS) Messages
- Used emergency / parking brake to stop aircraft on runway
- Flat spotted #1 and #4 tires
- No further incident



Review of weather:

- No significant weather
- POD: warm weather with wet runway conditions
- POA: mild weather, calm winds, cloudy, dry runway condition

Review of aircraft maintenance records

- No relevant history of mechanical irregularities of systems related to:
 - wheels / braking / antiskid system(s)
 - Ground Lift Dump (GLD) system
 - Thrust Reversers (TR)



Maintenance corrective actions:

- Replaced all wheels / tires
- Operational checks of systems:
 - brake / antiskid system(s)
 - Ground Lift Dump (GLD) System
 - Thrust Reversers (TR)
- No Defects Noted (NDN)



Review and analysis of FDR:

- Stabilized approach
- Ground lift devices did *not* automatically deploy as they should have (but no faults found in system)
- Emergency / Parking Brake used
- No Crew-Alerting System (CAS) Messages

FDR Analysis:

16026	16052	16078	16105	16131	16157	16183	162
				+	+	· +	
						·	
WOW NG.1 1=Gnd -							
		·			++		
				1	1		
Spoiler R.1 Outbd 0=E	xtend	 	 				
i	i	1	1	1	Ì	i	
I		 				I	
Spoiler R.1 Inbd 0=Ext	end	 	 	 +	++	+	
	I					I	
			 	 	++	· +	
Spoiler L.1 Outbd 0=E	xtend	 		+	+	+	
I	1	I	1	l.	I	I	
	·		 	 I	 i	·	
I	 	 			 	I	
Opolioi L. I IIIDU U-EXI	unu			+	++		



Event findings:

- POD wet runway conditions with 7h30m flight; cold-soaked brakes
- Touch down with (temporarily) frozen brakes, preventing normal wheel spin-up to allow for proper interrogation of the air/ground safety system
- Inhibited the ground spoilers from automatically deploying
- Lack of 'normal rate' of deceleration; crew false sensation/perception of brake fail
- Longer than planned runway landing performance (increased risk)

Event findings:







13

Event findings:

 Manufacturer Advisory Wire AW700-32-0244 Procedures to Prevent Freezing Brakes

This Advisory Wire is to raise awareness that a frozen brake situation may occur subsequent to the brake(s) being subjected to moisture from wet weather, ground operations, aircraft washing, parking and cold weather operations.

Flight crews and maintenance personnel are reminded that carbon brakes are porous and can absorb or retain moisture. Should this occur, a subsequent landing may result in a tire burst.



Event findings:

 Advisory Wire AW700-32-0244 Procedures to Prevent Freezing Brakes

Brake applications must be deliberately applied during taxi, before departure, to ensure the moisture is evaporated away.

If a wet brake is not heated sufficiently to evaporate moisture from the disk surfaces, there is a possibility after in-flight cold soak or parking in known wet or freezing conditions that the brake disk surfaces may freeze together.

Corrective Action Recommendations:

- Pilots and Training Department communication
 - Procedure for Ground Lift Dump not automatically deploying
 - Not to use the emergency brake unless absolute necessity (likely associated with a BRAKE FAIL CAS message)
 - AW importance of heating carbon brakes sufficiently to evaporate moisture from the disk surfaces during taxi out

Why have an FDM Program?

We believe the greater risk is not having an FDM Program. Furthermore, we believe an FDM Program is a cost of flight operations. FDM acts as in an insurance policy and is much less expensive than an accident/incident.

How to have an FDM Program?

1st – Company needs to support a Just Culture 2nd – financial support / think of as insurance policy 3rd – understand the value of knowing the potential risks to your operation so you can act on them 4th – research; find a company you want to work with 5th – start with aircraft that visit ICAO Required states; ADSB data, FlightAware, or FlightPlan

How does this tie into SMS?

- FDM contributes to SMS by providing safety assurance through evaluation of processes and procedures
- Controls will be implemented based on hazardous trends identified
- Improving the way we fly through continuous improvement





Elevate Safety Standards

- Elevate <u>your safety</u> standards by having voluntary safety programs
 - SMS
 - ASAP
 - FDM
- FAA does not require 135 operators to have FDM or FOQA Program.
- SMS and continuous improvement



20

Quick Access Recorder (QAR)

- Acquires 4.5 million data points from the already present data flow to the flight data recorder
- Transmits data via cellular network after landing
- Receive data and create a usable data package



 This data package is then transmitted via secure data lines for use with analysis software



Event trigger examples

MMO Exceedance Slat Mach Limit Exceedance No Fuel Flow to Left Outboard Engine No Fuel Flow to Left Inboard Engine No Fuel Flow to Center Engine No Fuel Flow to Right Inboard Engine No Fuel Flow to Right Outboard Engine GPWS: Unknown Warning Type GPWS: Sink Rate **GPWS: Pull Up GPWS:** Terrain **GPWS: Terrain Pull Up GPWS: Too Low Terrain GPWS: Look Ahead Caution GPWS: Look Ahead Warning GPWS: Minimums** GPWS: Too Low Gear **GPWS: Too Low Flap GPWS:** Glideslope Engine Fire (right inboard engine) Engine Fire (right outboard engine) Smoke Warning (Avionics Bay) Smoke Warning (Cargo) Smoke Warning (Lavatory) Smoke Warning (General) Airspeed Exceeds Limit for Low Altitudes Airspeed Low Relative to Stall Speed Airspeed (calibrated) Disagreement Low Buffet Speed at High Altitude MLE (Gear-Down Mach Limit) Exceedance

Low-Level Windshear EGT Limit Exceedance (Left Outboard Engine) EGT Limit Exceedance (Left Inboard Engine) EGT Limit Exceedance (Center Engine) EGT Limit Exceedance (Right Inboard Engine) EGT Limit Exceedance (Right Outboard Engine) Maximum Operating Altitude Exceedance Flap / Slat Altitude Limit Exceedance VFE (Flap Airspeed Limit) Exceedance Slat Speed Limit Exceedance VLE (Gear-Down Airspeed Limit) Exceedance VMO Exceedance **Pitch Attitude Disagreement** Stall Warning **Roll Attitude Disagreement** High Bank Angle for this Height **High Roll Rate** Low Hydraulic Pressure **Cabin Pressure Warning** TCAS Traffic Advisory **TCAS Resolution Advisory** Master Warning **Excess Ground Speed: Taxi Out** Takeoff Altitude is Too High Vtire (Tire Speed Limit) Exceedance during Takeoff Rejected Takeoff High Rotation Rate Brake Temperature Exceeds Limit for Takeoff Speed Brakes Used During Final Approach VLO (Gear Extension Airspeed Limit) Exceedance GPWS: Don't Sink

Late Gear Extension Diversion Go Around Final Flap Position not Valid for Landing Vtire (Tire Speed Limit) Exceedance during Roll Out **Risk of Fuel Exhaustion** Landing Weight Limit Exceedance Excess Ground Speed: Taxi In Ground Spoilers Armed Late Unstable Approach (HAT method) Predictive Windshear Envelope Stall Protection (Alpha Floor) FLCH or Open Descent below Vref Altitude Hold Engaged During or Prior to Liftoff High Pitch Angle for this Height Low Pitch Angle for this Height Below Vertical Path with Airport Elevation Selected Approach Mode Engaged during Climb or Cruise Hard Landing (Acceleration Method) Pitch High on Takeoff Pitch High on Landing Engine Fire (left outboard engine) Engine Fire (left inboard engine) VLO (Gear Retraction Airspeed Limit) Exceedance Late Final Flap Extension 22



Risks in your operation

What are the highest level of risks to your organization?

- Towing the airplane in/out of hangars?
- Taxing?
- Visual Approaches?
- Night operations?
- Unstabilized approaches?
- IMC operations at night in mountainous terrain?



"Some risks that are thought to be unknown, are not unknown. Armed with the right set of tools, procedures, knowledge and insight, light can be shed on variables that lead to risk, allowing us to manage them."

- Daniel Wagner



Business Jet Industry Statistics: Some Perspective



Business Jet Fleet

44 770		
14 //)	22.56/	65%

U.S. Fleet (Jets)				
Part 135 All U.S. (%)				
3,950	14,772	27%		

U.S. Charter Industry			
	# Operators	# Aircraft	
All	1,935	11,209	
Jets only	571	3 <i>,</i> 950	

U.S. Charter Jets by Category

	# Jets	% Total
Light	1,317	33.3%
Mid-size	793	20.1%
Supermid	926	23.4%
Large Cabin	914	23.1%
	3,950	100.0%



Operator Size vs Industry

Op Jet#		# 135 U.S.	
Category	# Ops	Ops	% Industry
1	159	571	27.8%
1 - 2	244	571	42.7%
1 - 3	313	571	54.8%

"1-3 Jet" Operators v Industry Jets

Op Jet#	# 135 U.S.		
Category	# Jets	Jets	% Industry
1 - 3	536	3 <i>,</i> 950	13.6%

U.S. Cha	arter Jets	: "1-3 Jet"	
Operators			
	# Jets	% Total	
Light	300	56.0%	
Mid-size	122	22.8%	
Supermid	51	9.5%	
Large			
Cabin	63	11.8%	
	536	100.0%	



Operator Size vs Industry

Op Jet#		# 135 U.S.	
Category	# Ops	Ops	% Industry
>25	18	571	3.2%
>50	9	571	1.6%

">50" Operators v Industry Jets

Op Jet# #		# 135 U.S.	
Category	# Jets	Jets	% Industry
>50	1,138	3 <i>,</i> 950	28.8%

U.S. Charter Jets: >50 Jet				
Operators				
	# Jets	% Total		
Light	187	16.4%		
Mid-size	142	12.5%		
Supermid	486	42.7%		
Large Cabin	323	28.4%		
	1,138	100.0%		



2019 Flight Hours			
Part 135 Jet	All Biz Jet	%	
1,066,976	4,591,723	23%	

			U.S. Cha	U.S. Charter Jets: 13 IAS		
13 IAS Regis	Part 135 Jet	%	_ R	Registered		
224,646	1,066,976	21%		# Jets	% Total	
			Light	72	16.3%	
			Mid-size	58	13.2%	
13 IAS Regis	All Biz Jet	%	Supermid	168	38.1%	
224,646	4,591,723	5%	 Large Cabin	143	32.4%	
·				441	100.0%	
					-	

13 IAS Regis	Statistical	%	
224,646	1,000,000	22%	

